

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/025,028	1	12/19/2001	Frank B. Porter JR.	0128 5899	
7	590	11/30/2004		EXAMINER	
Sam Pasternack, Esq.				HAYES, BRET C	
Choate, Hall & 53 State Street	Stewar	t		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Exchange Place	e			3644	
Boston, MA	02109			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/025,028	PORTER, FRANK	RANK B.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	11.	
	Bret C Hayes	3644	NUI)	
The MAILING DATE of this communic Period for Reply	cation appears on the cover sheet w	th the correspondence add	ress -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOTHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum states a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply any reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a unication. of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir utory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become Al	reply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this considered timely. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	nmunication.	
Status				
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on 23 August 2004			
	b) This action is non-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition f closed in accordance with the practic	or allowance except for formal mat	•	merits is	
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 1,2 and 4 is/are pending in t 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	• •			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2 and 4</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ion and/or election requirement.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:		by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any object				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including	the correction is required if the drawing	(s) is objected to. See 37 CFF	R 1.121(d).	
11) The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTC	D-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority of the certified copies of the copies of the priority of the certified copies of application from the Internation	locuments have been received. locuments have been received in A f the priority documents have been	pplication No	stage	
* See the attached detailed Office action		received.		
Attachment(s) 1)—-Notice-of-References-Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice of Praftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT	O-948) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	7TO/SB/08) 5) Notice of I 6) Other:	nformal Patent Application (PTO- 	152)	

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over 3,115,834 to Schwartz et al. (Schwartz) in view of 6,014,932 to Mardirossian.
- 3. Re claim 1, Schwartz discloses the invention substantially as claimed. Schwartz discloses a weapon 161; and time apparatus means for disarming the weapon 161 after a selected time has elapsed, set forth at col. 1, lines 14 20, further including means for shortening or lengthening the selected time before the selected time has elapsed, continuing at col. 1, line 42. Schwartz also discloses recovery of the disarmed weapon at col. 9, line 50. However, Schwartz does not disclose further including means for shortening or extending the selected time after the selected time has elapsed.

Mardirossian teaches further including means 13, 15 for shortening or extending the selected time after the selected time has elapsed, in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of being able to control another's ability to use a weapon – see col. 3, lines 51 - 54.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify Schwartz to further include means for shortening or extending the selected time after the selected time has elapsed as taught by Mardirossian in order to control

4. Re – claim 2, Schwartz discloses the weapon 161 as a sea mine.

5. Re – claim 4, Schwartz discloses the claimed as applied above, except for the means for shortening or extending the selected time being remote from the weapon.

Mardirossian further teaches means for shortening or extending a selected time being remote from the weapon, beginning at col. 2, line 23, "satellite 3 (or any other airborne vehicle such as an airplane, helicopter, or the like) includes a transmitter for emitting arming signals 13 to land mines 5" and the "satellite 3 may also send disarming signals 15 to land mines 5", in the same field of endeavor for the purpose of arming and disarming the weapon.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to further modify Schwartz to include the means being remote as taught by Mardirossian in order to arm and disarm the weapon.

Response to Arguments

- 1. Applicant's arguments filed 23 AUG 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 2. In response to the Applicant's piecemeal analysis of the references, it has been held that one cannot show non-obviousness by attacking references individually where, as here, the rejections are based on combinations of references. *In re Keller*, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981). Applicant argues, and examiner concedes, that the Schwartz reference, when taken alone, is lacking. However, the rejection is based on 103(a) type obviousness and examiner asserts that the Mardirossian reference teaches what is lacking in Schwartz as indicated above.

Conclusion

Page 4

3. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Bret Hayes at telephone number (703) 306 – 0553. The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Friday from 5:30 am to 3:00 pm, Eastern Standard Time.

If attempts to contact the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Teri Luu, can be reached at (703) 305 – 7421. The fax number is (703) 872 – 9306.

bh

11/28/04

TERI PHAM LUU SUPERVISORY PRIMARY EXAMINER